

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/905,446	07/13/2001	Ronald E. Tinsley	5808	
75	90 10/30/2003		EXAMINER	
Robert E. Howard			SCHULTERBRANDT, KOFI A	
P.O. Box 10345 Eugene, OR 97440			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
Eugene, Oit	, , , ,		3632	

DATE MAILED: 10/30/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary							
		09/905,446	TINSLEY, RONALD E.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAN NO DATE of this committee in		Kofi A. Schulterbrandt	3632				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app ars on the cover she t with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status							
1)⊠ R	esponsive to communication(s) filed on 09 C	October 2003 .					
2a)⊠ Ti	nis action is FINAL . 2b)☐ Thi	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
·	Claim(s) 1 and 4-7 is/are pending in the application.						
'	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) <u>6 and 7</u> is/are allowed.						
<u>'</u>	i)⊠ Claim(s) <u>6 and 7</u> is/are rejected.						
	☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
· <u> </u>	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:							
1.[1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2.[2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)							
2) Notice of	References Cited (PTO-892) Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) on Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/905,446

Art Unit: 3632

DETAILED ACTION

This second Office Action is in response to Applicant's First Amendment received on October 9, 2003 in this case.

RON Colodor

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 162

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 1, 4 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Clark (5,971,485). Clark teaches each feature of the claimed invention as shown in the attached red marked-up copy of Clark's Figures 2a and 2b.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 6 and 7 are allowed.

Reasons for Indicating Allowable Subject Matter

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: Regarding claim 6, the prior art of record does not teach, in combination with the other features of claim 6, a yoke having upwardly extending parallel arms and attached to the upper end of the support post, a pivot rod extending between the arms of the yoke, the rods having ends extending beyond the outer sides of the arms of the yoke, a pair of rear cantilever arms pivotally attached to the pivot rod and a pair of front

Application/Control Number: 09/905,446

Art Unit: 3632

cantilever arms pivotally attached to the pivot rod. Regarding claim 7, the prior art of record does not teach, in combination with the other features of claim 7, an adjustable chest pad support rod extending substantially horizontally through an opening in said support post.

Examiner's Response to Applicant's Remarks

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 4 and 5 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/905,446

Art Unit: 3632

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kofi A. Schulterbrandt whose telephone number is (703) 306-0096. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 8:00 a.m. - 5:00 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Leslie A. Braun can be reached on (703) 308-2156. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9326 for regular communications and (703) 872-9327 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

Kofi Schulterbrandt October 22, 2003

RAMON O. RAMIREZ
PRIMARY EXAMINER
ART UNIT 355-3632